UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VALMIKI D. RAMANI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

FACEBOOK LLC,

Defendant.

22-CV-2497 (LTS)

TRANSFER ORDER

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Valmiki D. Ramani, who resides in Briarwood (Queens County), New York, brings this action *pro se*. He alleges that Defendant discriminated against him. For the following reasons, this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

Under the general venue provision, a civil action may be brought in:

(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred . . .; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). For venue purposes, a "natural person" resides in the district where the person is domiciled. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(1). A defendant corporation generally resides "in any judicial district in which such defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question . . ." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2).

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant took discriminatory action against his Facebook music channel. Because Plaintiff does not allege that Defendant resides in this district or that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to his claims arose in this district, venue is not proper in this Court under Section 1391(b). It appears that Plaintiff's claims arose in

Plaintiff's home, located in Briarwood (Queens County), New York, which is in the Eastern

District of New York. See 28 U.S.C. § 112(c). Accordingly, venue lies in the Eastern District of

New York, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), and this action is transferred to the United States District

Court for the Eastern District of New York, 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the United States District Court

for the Eastern District of New York. Whether Plaintiff should be permitted to proceed further

without prepayment of fees is a determination to be made by the transferee court. A summons

shall not issue from this Court. This order closes this case.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant

demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

March 31, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

2